

RatingsDirect®

Research Update:

Ratings On Kuwait-Based Warba Insurance Raised To 'BBB+' On Improved Risk Management; Outlook Stable

Primary Credit Analyst:

David D Anthony, London (44) 20-7176-7010; david.anthony@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Sachin Sahni, Dubai (971) 4-372-7190; sachin.sahni@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Ratings Score Snapshot

Related Criteria And Research

Ratings List

Research Update:

Ratings On Kuwait-Based Warba Insurance Raised To 'BBB+' On Improved Risk Management; Outlook Stable

Overview

- We have revised our view of Warba Insurance's enterprise risk management (ERM) to adequate because we now consider that adherence to internally defined risk appetites, particularly those risk controls regarding investment asset allocation, has improved.
- Warba Insurance continues to enjoy a satisfactory business risk profile
 as the fourth largest insurer in Kuwait, while we assess its financial
 risk profile as moderately strong, supported by extremely strong capital
 adequacy.
- We have raised our ratings on Warba Insurance to 'BBB+' from 'BBB' based on the level of its business and financial risk profiles, combined with our revised assessment of its ERM.
- The stable outlook indicates that we do not expect to take a rating action on Warba Insurance over the two-year outlook period to late 2018.

Rating Action

On Aug. 23, 2016, S&P Global Ratings raised to 'BBB+' from 'BBB' its counterparty credit and financial strength ratings on Kuwait-based Warba Insurance Co. K.S.C.P. (Warba). The outlook is stable.

Rationale

The chief factor behind the upgrade of Warba is our view that enterprise risk management (ERM) across the company has improved sufficiently to justify an assessment of adequate. In particular, we now consider that the company's clearly defined internal investment risk appetites, established through executive committees, can no longer be easily overridden when formulating investment strategy. Now that our earlier concerns in respect of ERM have been substantially allayed, we have removed the negative one-notch modifier that we previously applied to the ratings. As a result, our ratings on Warba are now at the level of the 'bbb+' anchor that we derived by combining Warba's satisfactory business risk profile with its moderately strong financial risk profile.

We reassessed our view of Warba's ERM based on clear evidence demonstrating a better articulated risk management framework. In December 2014, the Risk Management & Development Department took responsibility for the definition and monitoring of the company's risk appetite. The company also created executive

committees whose task is to define and periodically update the company's risk limits. In our view, this is a fundamental change in Warba's ERM. For example, the new structures help prevent the board from directly making decisions that could take the company outside its defined risk appetite, or that are contrary to the various executive committees' recommendations.

Our rating conclusions are also based on our view that Warba continues to enjoy a sustainable and adequate competitive position in the Kuwait insurance sector, while at the same time displaying strong capital and earnings. Its risk-based capital adequacy ratios are extremely strong, according to our model. As of year-end 2015, reported gross premiums totaled Kuwaiti dinar (KWD) 37.1 million; shareholder's funds were KWD45.3 million; and net income reached KWD1.7 million.

Based on the most recent interim accounts, published at end-June 2016, we consider that Warba continues to operate satisfactorily. Its gross premiums are up 2% at KWD20.3 million year on year and it has retained 52% of premiums while ceding the remaining 48% to external reinsurers. The net combined ratio for the half-year improved somewhat to 84% from 89% for the first half of 2015. (Lower combined ratios indicate better profitability. A combined ratio of greater than 100% signifies an underwriting loss.) Overall net income after tax also slightly improved to KWD2.3 million from KWD2.1 million at the end of the first half of 2015. After a payment of shareholder dividends, reported shareholders' funds as of end June 2016 stood at KWD44.4 million (US\$148.5 million).

Outlook

The stable outlook indicates that we do not expect our ratings on Warba to change during the two-year outlook period to late 2018.

Upside scenario

We do not expect to raise the ratings on Warba again within the two-year outlook period. In the longer term, we could raise the ratings if, in our view, its risk position had improved to an intermediate level from the present moderate level, and if other analytical factors remained at least stable.

Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings on Warba if its current, somewhat elevated, exposure to equity instruments does not moderate within the coming 12-18 months to levels consistent with the company's own stated risk appetites and tolerances. The ratings could also be lowered if Warba experiences any particularly significant increase in its business risk exposure, or if capital adequacy according to our model falls below levels that we regard as very strong.

Ratings Score Snapshot

	То	From
Financial Strength Rating	BBB+/Stable/	BBB/Positive/
Anchor Business Risk Profile IICRA Competitive Position	bbb+ Satisfactory Intermediate Risk Adequate	bbb+ Satisfactory Intermediate Risk Adequate
Financial Risk Profile Capital and Earnings Risk Position Financial Flexibility	Moderately Strong Strong Moderate Risk Adequate	Moderately Strong Strong Moderate Risk Adequate
Modifiers Holistic notch ERM and Management Enterprise Risk Management Management and Governance	0 0 0 Adequate Fair	-1 0 -1 Weak Fair
Liquidity	Exceptional	Exceptional
Support Group Support Government Support	0 0 0	0 0 0

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Insurers: Rating Methodology, May 7, 2013
- Enterprise Risk Management, May 7, 2013
- Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- Refined Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing Insurer Capital Adequacy Using The Risk-Based Insurance Capital Model, June 7, 2010
- Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

• Kuwaiti Property/Casualty Insurance Sector Carries An Intermediate Industry And Country Risk Assessment, March 21, 2016

Ratings List

Upgraded; Outlook Action

To From

Warba Insurance Co. K.S.C.P.

Counterparty Credit Rating BBB+/Stable/-- BBB/Positive/-- Financial Strength Rating BBB+/Stable/-- BBB/Positive/--

Additional Contact:

Insurance Ratings Europe; InsuranceInteractive_Europe@spglobal.com

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com and at spcapitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2016 by S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.